

## THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

PRICE DANIEL
ATTORNEY GENERAL

AUSTIN 11, TEXAS

February 26, 1951

Hon. C. H. Cavness State Auditor Austin, Texas

Opinion No. V-1152,

Re: Interpretation of Section 1,
House Bill 779, Acts 51st Leg.,
R.S. 1949, regarding limitations on tax remissions to
certain public agencies.

Dear Mr. Cavness:

You request the opinion of this office upon the construction of House Bill 779, Acts 51st Leg., R.S. 1949, ch. 309, p. 572, with reference to the provisions of this act fixing limitations upon the additional amount to be granted under the terms of the act to the public agencies enumerated in it.

The purpose of this legislation was to grant to the named public agencies additional relief by the donation and allocation of additional State taxes from subsequent levies and assessments due to the fact that there was no State ad valorem tax levied for general revenue for the year 1948. These public agencies did not receive the allocation or donation of State taxes for 1948 which they would have received had there been a State levy for that year.

Section 1 of this act states two limitations upon the a-mount to be granted from subsequent levies to compensate for the omission of the 1948 levy. For the sake of clarity, we state these limitations in the order given, as follows:

- (1) "... each such Agency may receive an a-mount equal but not in excess of the amount that each would have received had such tax been levied for the year 1948."
- (2) "...and limited to the amount actually received by such Agency by reason of the 1947 levy during the fiscal year from September 1, 1947, to August 31, 1948, as shown by the records of the State Comptroller's Office."

The first limitation is based upon "an amount equal but not in excess of the amount that each would have received had such tax been levied for the year 1948." For the purpose of this opinion, we assume that this amount is susceptible of a definite calculation, for had this tax been levied the taxpayer would have been required

Hon. C. H. Cavness, Page 2 (V-1152)

to pay it at the same time he paid his county taxes and upon the same valuation.

The amount of the second limitation is definitely fixed as the amount actually received by such agency by reason of the 1947 levy during the fiscal year from September 1, 1947, to August 31, 1948, as shown by the records of the State Comptroller's Office. In other words, reference to this record is all that is necessary to ascertain this amount, which, of course, is definite.

In determining the additional amount of relief to be granted by this bill to the public agencies enumerated therein, we think it plain that the Legislature intended that the amount to be donated or allocated to these agencies should be the amount which results from the application of the lesser of these two limitations. To state it another way, if the first limitation is less than the second limitation, this is the one which should be adopted. Conversely, if the second limitation is less than the first, this is the one which should be adopted. It is quite plain from the language of this statute that an agency may (not shall or must) receive an amount equal to, but not in excess of the amount which would have been received had such tax been levied for the year 1948. This is the plain language of the statute. But this must yield to the second limitation if the amount is greater than the amount actually received by such agency by reason of the 1947 levy during the fiscal year from September 1, 1947, to August 31, 1948. It is absolutely essential that the amount of the additional allocation or donation provided for in this act be susceptible of definite ascertainment. We think the construction which we have given it achieves this purpose and is in accord with the express language of the statute.

## SUMMARY

The tax donation granted to certain public agencies enumerated in House Bill 779, Acts 51st Leg., R. S. 1949, ch. 309, p. 572, in lieu of the amount these agencies would have received had there been a State ad valorem levy for the year 1948, is measured by the lesser of the two limitations expressed in Section 1 of the act.

APPROVED:

Yours very truly,

W. V. Geppert Taxation Division PRICE DANIEL Attorney General

Jesse P. Luton, Jr. Reviewing Assistant

By L.P. Lellar
Assistant

Charles D. Mathews

First Assistant

LPL:mwb